

Comunicação Pública

vol.3 nº5 | 2007 Varia

The role of emotional intelligence in consumer responses to advertising

O papel da inteligência emocional nas respostas do consumidor à publicidade

Ana Cristina Antunes and Francisco Costa Pereira



Electronic version

URL: http://journals.openedition.org/cp/7952 DOI: 10.4000/cp.7952 ISSN: 2183-2269

Publisher

Escola Superior de Comunicação Social

Printed version

Date of publication: 31 December 2007 Number of pages: 29-44 ISBN: 1646-1479 ISSN: 16461479

Electronic reference

Ana Cristina Antunes and Francisco Costa Pereira, « The role of emotional intelligence in consumer responses to advertising », *Comunicação Pública* [Online], vol.3 nº5 | 2007, Online since 15 October 2020, connection on 05 December 2020. URL : http://journals.openedition.org/cp/7952 ; DOI : https://doi.org/10.4000/cp.7952

This text was automatically generated on 5 December 2020.



Comunicação Pública Este trabalho está licenciado com uma Licença Creative Commons - Atribuição-NãoComercial 4.0 Internacional.

The role of emotional intelligence in consumer responses to advertising

O papel da inteligência emocional nas respostas do consumidor à publicidade

Ana Cristina Antunes and Francisco Costa Pereira

1. Introduction

- In a competitive and continuously changing world, where new forms of media are arising and becoming increasingly popular, advertising needs to be effective. In order to be effective, advertising has to attend to a multitude of factors. It is undeniable the influence that variables like culture, social class, lifestyles and the social group exert on the individual and his/her responses to advertising.
- 2 Besides these variables, the characteristics of the receptor have been widely recognized has having a major impact on advertising effectiveness. In fact, there is evidence that supports the claim that individual differences can lead to changes in the way people respond to an advertising appeal (Moore et al., 1995; LaBarbera et al., 1998; Ruiz and Sicilia, 2004).
- ³ Affect and cognition are two main individual processes that exert an influence on affective and behavioural responses and some attention as been devoted in trying to understanding more clearly the influence of these two variables in advertising effectiveness (Fabrigar and Petty, 1999; Harris and Moore, 1990).
- 4 One of the individual characteristics related to cognition focuses on the cognitive style and is referred to as the need for cognition. Need for cognition (NFC) can be defined as «the tendency to engage in and enjoy thinking» (Cacioppo and Petty, 1982, pp. 116). The researchers usually distinguish between two groups of individuals, high and low NFC individuals, and this individual's propensity for cognition influences a wide range of consumer attitudes and behaviors. There is evidence that attitudes of individuals with high NFC are more resistant and persistent over time (Areni et al., 2000) and their consumer attitudes towards new products can be predicted by their cognitive

responses whereas attitudes of their low NFC counterparts can not (Haughvedt, Petty and Cacioppo, 1992). The differences in NFC also influence the reaction to advertising: individuals high on NFC a) respond more positively to informationally dense ads and ads containing high quality arguments (Cacioppo et al, 1996), b) prefer factual ads whereas those with low NFC prefer evaluative ads (Venkatraman; Marlino, Kardes and Sklar, 1990), c) process and evaluate advertising information more thoroughly than low NFC individuals (Mantel and Kardes, 1999; Peltier and Schibrowsky, 1994), d) only react to promotional signals if they are accompanied by a price cut whereas their NFC counterparts react to a mere presence of a promotional signal (Inman, McAllister and Hoyer, 1990). So, the diagnosis of information is the focus for high NFC individuals (MacInnis and Jaworski, 1989; Petty et al., 1983), for they are mo- tivated to elaborate and process the message advertisement quite carefully whereas the low NFC individuals prefer to use heuristic processing. However, when little information is available in the ad and it is mainly emotional, the effectiveness of the ad it's similar for both high and low NFC individuals (Ruiz and Sicilia, 2004).

- 5 Although most of the consumer behavior research still relies on cognitive topics, the study of emotions is arising as a trend, included in what Simonson et al. (2001) refer to as «hot topics» in consumer behaviour. Affect can influence a variety of behavioral phenomena (Wegener and Petty, 1994), including the arousal of consumer behaviours (Ferrés, 1996) or individual responses to advertising (Ruiz and Sicilia, 2004).
- ⁶ Several measures have been developed to account for the affective processing style¹ and one of these measures is Need for Emotion (Raman, Chattopadhyay and Hoyer, 1995), based on the idea that individuals differ in their tendencies to process affective or emotional stimuli. Need for emotion (NFE) can be defined as the «tendency or propensity for individuals to seek out emotional situations, enjoy emotional stimuli, and exhibit a preference to use emotion in interacting with the world» (Raman, Chattopadhyay and Hoyer 1995, p. 537). Although it has been suggested that NFE is situationally bounded and is mainly directed at short range emotions instead of more lasting emotional states, NFE can partially explain patterns of individual behaviour.
- The research interest in the impact of emotions in advertising is clear when we 7 consider not only the different forms of measurement developed but also the number of studies that compare the relative impact of emotional versus informational advertising appeals on cognitions, attitudes and behavioural intentions (Fabrigar and Petty, 1999). Using the concept of affect intensity (AI), one of the constructs related to need for emotion, several studies have been designed to understand the influence of advertising in consumers' emotions (Aaker et al., 1986; Geuens and Pelsmacker, 1999; Larsen and Diener, 1987; Moore and Harris, 1996). One of the results is that some people experience their emotions with greater vividness or a greater magnitude of intensity when exposed to emotional stimuli (Aaker et al., 1986; Larsen and Diener, 1987). Using these results, Moore, Harris and Chen (1995) found that high affect intensity (AI) individuals reported higher levels of affective reactivity when facing an emotional appeal than did their low counterparts, regardless of whether the emotions were positive or negative. Yet, there seems to be no difference in the emotional response intensity when both types of individuals, high and low AI, are exposed to a nonemotional appeal. In an extension of this study, Moore and Harris (1996) found that when ads are emotional, either conveying positive or negative emotions, high AI persons had stronger emotional reactions than their low AI counterparts. This

difference was not present in the case of non emotional ads. High AI individuals had also stronger attitudes toward the ad but only when they are exposed to a positive emotional ad. These findings imply that one of the reasons for the effect of emotional ads lies in the characteristics of the individuals exposed to the ads. They also hint that high AI individuals are more likely persuaded by emotionally charged advertising appeals.

8 Also related to the style of affective processing is one of the most popular topics of research nowadays, emotional intelligence. The study of this construct evolved from the broader approaches to understand intelligence proposed by Gardner (1983) and Williams and Sternberg (1988). Originally conceptualized by Salovey and Mayer (1990), emotional intelligence involves

«the ability to perceive accurately, appraise and express emotion; the ability to access and/or generate feelings when they facilitate thought; the ability to understand emotion and emotional knowledge; and the ability to regulate emotions to promote emotional and intellectual growth» (Salovey and Mayer, 1990, pp. 10).

- 9 There has been a considerable effort to theoretically develop this construct and the actual result is the existence of three different models, ranging from the ability models (Meyer and Salovey, 1997) to the non-cognitive (Bar- On, 1997) and competency-based models (Goleman, 2001).²
- 10 According to Meyer and Salovey's ability model (1997) emotional intelligence is a type of intelligence that involves a set of skills related to emotions and to the processing of emotional information. These abilities generally pertain to the perception, the expression and the regulation/management of emotions and the capacity to utilize (or reason with) emotions in thought (Mayer, Salovey and Caruso, 2000), therefore giving a relevant contribution to logical thought and intelligence. There is a hierarchical organization in these abilities, from the most basic to the most complex and integrated psychological processes, and they are thought to develop much the same way as the crystallised abilities do (Meyer and Salovey, 1993).
- 11 Bar-On (1997, 2006) and Goleman (1995, 2001) have taken emotional intelligence one step away, with broader perspectives that include desirable motivational, interpersonal, and intrapsychic attributes that resemble perso- nality traits and attitudes more than traditional abilities. The non-cognitive model proposed by Bar-On (1997) is so called because it portrays emotional intelligence as an «array of noncognitive capabilities, competencies and skills that influence one's ability to succeed in coping with environmental demands and pressures» (Bar-On, 1997, pp.14). The operationalization of this model considers 15 non-cognitive components that resemble personality factors. These components can be grouped into five specific dimensions that are: intrapersonal emotional intelligence, interpersonal emotional intelligence, adaptability emotional intelligence, stress management emotional intelligence and general mood emotional intelligence. Goleman's (2001) competency-based model is specifically directed for workplace applications, with an emphasis on leaders. This model involves 20 competencies that distinguish individual differences in the workplace performance. These competencies relate to how we manage our own feelings and our relationships with others and can be grouped in four clusters: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness and relationship management.
- 12 Emotional intelligence has been studied for its numerous relationships with other variables, like leadership (Bertges, 2002; Leban and Zulauf, 2004), its influence on work

and school performance (Van Rooy and Viswesvaran, 2004) or in problem solving (Schutte et al., 2000). But its relationship with advertising as not yet been established, therefore increasing the relevance of this research.

- ¹³ For its importance, the attitudinal responses to advertising have been studied since the 1950s (Cohen, 1990), but it was with the works of Mitchell and Olson (1981) that a new concept as arised, the attitude toward the ad (A_{ad}). Several models have been proposed (Lutz et al., 1983; MacKenzie and Lutz, 1989) to explain the antecedents of A_{ad} and its causal relations and there is already a considerable body of evidence on the A_{ad} effects on brand attitude and brand cognition (Batra and Ray, 1986; Lutz, 1985; MacKenzie et al., 1986; Brown and Stayman, 1992). A_{ad} was chosen in this study as depen- dent variable for its relation with advertising effectiveness. Emotional responses to advertisements interact with viewing contexts and influence A_{ad} (Coulter, 1998; Coulter and Punj, 1999).
- 14 The main purpose of this investigation is to analyse the role that these three variables, the individual tendencies to engage in affective or cognitive activities and emotional intelligence, play in the responses to advertising.

2. Method

2.1. Subjects

15 Eighty-seven undergraduate students attending Escola Superior de Comunicação Social participated in this study. Of this sample 78,2 % were female and 21,8% were male. Their ages ranged between 18 and 27 with a mean age of 19,6 years.

2.2. Measures

- 16 All of the data and measures used in this study were operationalized, collected and measured at the individual level of analysis, since our intention is to analyse individual responses to advertising.
- 17 Three instruments were used to measure affect and cognition in the individuals, our independent variables. These measures are the Need for Cognition Scale (Cacioppo et al., 1984), the Need for Emotion Scale (Raman, Chattopadhyay and Hoyer, 1995) and the Emotional Competence Inventory (Boyatsis, Goleman & Rhee. 1999), using a 5 point Likert scale (1= strongly disagree, 5= strongly agree). The Need for Cognition and Need for Emotion scales are both unidimensional measures. The Emotional Competence Inventory is designed to measure four dimensions associated with emotional intelligence: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness and relationship management.
- 18 Attitude toward the ad (A_{ad}) , the global evaluation of the ad and the emotional response toward the ads were our dependent variables. They were chosen because they are related to the concept of advertising effectiveness.
- 19 Global evaluation of the ad is made using a single-item associated with a 5 point scale (1= I didn't like it at all, 5= I liked it a lot). The emotional response toward the ad was assessed with PAD (Meharabian and Russell, 1974), a 18-item self-report instrument in

the form of a semantic differential. This five-point semantic differential evaluates three independent dimensions of emotional responses: Pleasure, Activation and Domination.

20 A scale was devised to measure attitude toward the ad, its items extracted from the relevant literature (e.g., MacKenzie and Lutz, 1989). A_{ad} scale has 21 items and is answered using a 5 point Likert scale (1= strongly disagree, 5= strongly agree).

2.3. Procedure

The administration of the instruments and exposition to the advertisements took place in Escola Superior de Comunicação Social after the subjects were told that the general purpose of the study was to investigate the relation between individual variables and advertising. The voluntary nature of participation was stressed and the confidentiality of the data guaranteed. Subjects were further informed that their first activity involved thinking about a series of statements and were presented the NFE, the NFC and the ECI scales. Following, the individuals were exposed twice to ad 1 and were asked to fill a questionnaire intended to measure A_{ad} , the emotional response and the global evaluation of the ad. After viewing the second ad, a similar process took place. Both advertisements were television ads and they were real. The first advertisement is mainly emotional and is related to a symbolic dimension, whereas the second ad contains information about environmental behaviour.

3. Results

22 Table 1 reports the descriptive statistics for the three independent variables in this investigation, namely Need for Cognition (NFC), Need for Emotion (NFE) and Emotional Intelligence (EI).

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. deviation	Alpha
Need for Emotion	87	1,00	4,75	3,51	,624	0,87
Need for Cognition	87	2,61	4,67	3,59	,425	0,78
E. I. Self-Awareness	87	2,78	4,44	3,68	,360	0,59
E. I. Self-Management	87	2,86	4,14	3,58	,293	0,67
E. I. Social Awareness	86	2,89	4,67	3,76	,345	0,60
E. I. Relationship Management	86	2,58	4,08	3,49	,322	0,81

Table 1 - Descriptive statistics for NFC, NFE and EI

As can be observed, the scales present a mean result around 3,5 and have low levels of dispersion. Tables 2 and 3 provide descriptive statistics concerning the individual reactions to the ads. The division in two tables is an attempt to present separately the reactions to ad 1 and ad 2.

Message reactions to ad one	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. deviation	Alpha
Global Opinion	79	1,00	5,00	3,32	1,02	_
PAD – Pleasure	86	1,33	3,67	2,60	,547	0,79
PAD – Arousal	87	1,00	4,17	3,39	,654	0,85
PAD – Domination	87	1,50	4,17	3,01	,480	0,57
Aad -Affective dimension	86	2,22	4,89	3,72	,530	0,66
Aad -Cognitive dimension	87	1,27	3,73	2,71	,550	0,71

Table 2 - Descriptive statistics for overall evaluation emotional and attitudinal reactions) to ad 1

Table 3 - Descriptive statistics for overall evaluation, emotional and attitudinal reactions to ads 2

Message reaction to ad two	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. deviation	Alpha
Global Opinion	82	1,00	5,00	3,88	1,13	-
PAD – Pleasure	87	1,00	4,00	2,93	,697	0,89
PAD – Arousal	87	1,00	5,00	3,26	,677	0,86
PAD – Domination	87	1,00	4,33	3,33	,682	0,78
A _{ad} -Affective dimension	86	1,89	4,67	3,42	,560	0,71
A _{ad} -Cognitive dimension	87	2,36	4,64	3,89	,482	0,78

- The analysis show us that in general the mean results are higher for reactions to advertisement 2 comparatively with reactions to advertisement 1, exception made in arousal dimension of the emotional response toward the ad 1 and the affective dimension of the $A_{ad 1}$. All the coefficient alphas obtai- ned are acceptable, indicating an adequate internal reliability, with one exception, the arousal dimension of the emotional response toward advertisement 1.
- 25 Next, the relationship between affect and cognition scores and our dependent variables were investigated. Tables 4 and 5 specifies the correlations between Need for Cognition, Need for Emotion and Emotional Intelligence, on one hand, and global opinion of the ad, emotional responses toward the ad and A_{ad} on the other.

Table 4 – Pearson correlation coefficients for NFC, NFE, EI, the global opinion and the dimension Pleasure

Dimensions	Global opinion	PAD Pleasure
------------	----------------	-----------------

	Ad one	Ad two	Ad one	Ad two
Need for Emotion	0,151	0,182	0,073	0,308
Need for Cognition	- 0,200	0,222	- 0,213	0,266
E. I. Self-Awareness	- 0,046	0,124	0,067	0,233
E. I. Self-Management	- 0,018	0,254	0,005	0,277
E. I. Social Awareness	- 0,005	0,343	- 0,112	0,249
E. I. Relationship Management	0,037	0,261	- 0,019	0,310

Table 5 – Pearson correlation coefficients for NFC, NFE, EI and A_{ad} and the arousal dimension

Dimensions	A _{ad} – A Dimension	ffective	A _{ad} – Dimension	PAD Arousal		
	Ad one	Ad two	Ad one	Ad two	Ad one	Ad two
Need for Emotion	0,180	0,253	- 0,026	0,217	0,079	0,312
Need for Cognition	- 0,064	0,260	- 0,142	0,299	0,042	0,145
E. I. Self– Awareness	0,136	0,195	- 0,030	0,255	0,045	0,267
E. I. Self- Management	0,111	0,298	- 0,047	0,289	0,028	0,360
E. I. Social Awareness	0,009	0,374	- 0,094	0,398	- 0,007	0,198
E. I. Relationship Management	0,102	0,302	0,067	0,344	0,086	0,326

- ²⁶ The results point out that the several dimensions of affect and cognition are related to global opinion of the ad, emotional responses toward the ad and A_{ad} . All the correlations were only found for advertisement 2, for the responses to advertisement 1 appear to have no relation with the personality variables measured in this study.
- ²⁷ The global opinion of ad two is significantly related to emotional intelligence but not with need for emotion nor need for cognition. This implies that individuals with a higher EI will present a more favourable global opinion to the ad. But these two variables, need for cognition and need for emotion are both related to the dimension pleasure found in emotional responses to advertisement 2, while need for emotion also maintains a significant correlation with the dimension arousal of the emotional response toward the ad (in ad 2). This emotional dimension is also correlated with selfmanagement (one of the dimensions of EI). There is a remarkable pattern of correlations between the attitude toward ad 2 (considering both components, affective

and cognitive dimensions) and all the independent variables of our study, need for cognition, need for emotion and emotional intelligence.

- ²⁸ The nature of these relations between the independent variables and the reactions to ad 2 was further investigated with a regression analysis.
- ²⁹ The results show that emotional intelligence can predict, at a short length, the attitude toward the ad, its affective dimension being a function of Relationship Management in a explanation of 8,0% with a Beta of 0,302 and the cognitive dimension also being predicted by the same cluster of emotional intelligence, that explains 10,8% of the variance found (β = 0,344). There are not differences produced in A_{ad} due to a higher NFE, contrary to what was expected considering the results obtained with individuals with high lev- els of affect intensity (Moore and Harris, 1996).
- ³⁰ Emotional intelligence (specifically the dimension Self-Management) and need for emotion are both predictors for the dimension Arousal of the emotional response toward the ad 2, explaining together 16,4% of the variance found in this variable (β 1 = -0,301; β 2 = -0,235). This can help explain the tendency, detected in high affect intensity individuals, to be more persuaded by emotionally charged advertising appeals (Moore and Harris, 1996). The arousal associated with the emotional response towards an ad encountered in high NFE individuals can lead to a higher propensity to attend to the appeals presented, that can therefore exert a higher influence.
- The dimension Pleasure of the emotional response toward the ad 2 is a function of Need for Emotion (NFE) and Need for Cognition (NFC), that together explain 12,9% of the variance in this variable (β 1 = -0,270; β 2 = -0,232). Besides the evidence already collected by Areni et al. (2000), Haughvedt, Petty and Cacioppo (1992), Cacioppo et al. (1996), Venkatraman; Marlino, Kardes and Sklar (1990), Mantel and Kardes (1999) and Peltier and Schibrowsky (1994) concerning NFC and its impact on several variables, our results point to the important influence that NFC exerts on the emotional response toward an ad, when conjugated with NFE. This provides empirical evidence of the interaction between emotion and cognition that has been re- cently established in literature.
- In this case, with an emotional advertisement, the results presented by Ruiz and Sicilia (2004) were not fully replicated, since there are differences in the effectiveness of an advertisement for high and low NFC individuals. But it is important to consider that this effect appears as relevant when conjugated with need for emotion.
- ³³ Also contrary to what was expected attending to the existing literature, individuals with higher scores on NFC did not prefer the factual and informative ad (ad 1), nor responded more positively to the ad that contained more rational and consistent arguments (ad 1).
- ³⁴ Finally, Relationship Management (one of the dimensions from EI) predicts the global opinion of advertisement 2, although its contribution is limi- ted to 5,6% of the variance associated with this variable (β = 0,261).
- ³⁵ To conclude this analysis, canonical correlations were performed bet ween the two sets of variables, the personality variables (EI, NFC, NFE) and the consumer responses to advertising. We found a high correlation between the two sets, 0,418 for the first message and 0,528 for the second message, but with a lower level of significance, 0,62 for the first message and 0,26 for the second message. These results show that there are some interesting relations between the two sets, but they didn't work well maybe due to the reduced sample used in this research.

4. Conclusions

- ³⁶ The current research has already emphasised the link between affect and cognition in advertising (Burke and Edell, 1989; Ruiz and Sicilia, 2004; Zajonc and Markus, 1982) and state that the preference for the use of affect or cognition can lead to different personality types or different processing styles in what concerns to reactions to advertising. But all the empirical research has not yet considered what seems to be a important individual difference, emotional intelligence, and its role in the genesis, maintenance and development of opinions and attitudes toward the ad as well as in the development of emotional responses toward the ad. Individuals with a higher EI show a tendency to produce more favourable opinions, stronger emotional responses and a more positive attitude toward emotional advertisements. Therefore, emotional intelligence influences the advertisement effectiveness. Contrarily to what is predicted in the literature (Cacciopo et al., 1996), the tendency to present a higher need for cognition is not related, in this study, to
- 37 positive responses to informational ads.
- ³⁸ Evidence in favour of the interplay between affect and cognition is also provided, at an empirical level, namely for its effect on the emotional response developed toward emotional advertisements.
- ³⁹ For its attempt to investigate the influence of several personality variables (some of them not yet studied in advertising, e.g., emotional intelligence) over consumer responses to advertising, this study takes us a step further in the comprehension of the impact of the individual variables on advertising responses.

5. Practical and theoretical implications

40 The study findings have several interesting implications for advertising. The individual differences in the tendency to use affective or cognitive information for guiding an attitude (Haddock and Zanna, 1993) also guide the attitude toward the ad. At this level, emotional intelligence seems to be an im- portant variable to help explain the development of an attitude toward an advertisement.

6. Some limitations of this study

- ⁴¹ The size of our sample could be a limitation, but its dimension is enough for our purposes in this exploratory analysis.
- ⁴² Since there is no evidence of relationships between the consumer variables and the informational advertisement, it is important to use another set of emotional and informational advertisements, to further investigate the eventual relationships among these variables and the ads.
- ⁴³ Other analysis should be developed to measure the stability of the independent and dependent variables.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aaker, D. A., Stayman, D.M. and Hagerty, M.R. (1986). Warmth in advertising: measurement, impact, and sequence effects. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 12, pp. 365-381.

Areni, C.S. and Ferrell, M.E. (2000). The persuasive impact of reported group opinions on individuals low vs. high in need for cognition: rationalization vs. biased elaboration? *Psychology and Marketing*, 17 (10), pp. 855-875.

Bar-On, R. (1997). Bar-On Emotional Quotient Inventory: A measure of emotional intelligence. Technical Manual, Toronto: Multi-Health Systems.

Bar-On, R. (2006). The Bar-On model of emotional-social intelligence (ESI). Psicothema, *18*, supl., pp. 13-25.

Batra, R., and Ray, M.L. (1986). Affective responses mediating acceptance of advertising. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 13, pp. 234-249.

Bertges, W. M. (2002). The relationship between stressful life events and leadership in adolescents with an emphasis on explanatory styles and Emotional Intelligence. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 62, 11-B.

Boyatzis, R. E., Goleman, D. & Rhee, K. (1999). Clustering competence in emotional intelligence: Insights from the Emotional Competence Inventory (ECI). *Handbook of Emotional Intelligence*. R. Bar-On and D. A. Parker. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.

Brown, S., and Stayman, D. M. (1992). Antecedents and consequences of attitude toward the Ad: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 19, pp. 34-51.

Burke, M. C., and Edell, J. A. (1989). The impact of feelings on Ad-based affect and cognition. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 26, pp. 69-83.

Cacioppo, J. T., Petty, R. E., Feinstein, J. and Jarvis W. B. (1996). Dispositional differences in cognitive motivation: The life and times of individuals varying in need for cognition. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119, pp. 197-253.

Cacioppo, J.T., Petty, R.E. and Kao, C.F. (1984). The efficient assessment of need for cognition. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 42, pp. 306-307.

Cacioppo, J., T., and Petty, R., E. (1982). The need for cognition. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 42, pp. 116-131.

Cohen, J.B. (1990). Attitude, affect and consumer behaviour. In B.S. Moore and A.M. Isen (Eds.) *Affect and Social Behavior* (pp. 156-206). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Coulter, K.S. and Punj, G. (1999). Influence of viewing context on the determinations of attitude toward the ad. *Journal of Business Research*, 45, pp. 47-59.

Coulter, K.S. (1998). The effects of affective responses to media context on advertising evaluations. *Journal of Advertising*, 27, pp. 41-51.

Fabrigar, L. R., and Petty, R. E. (1999). The role of the affective and cognitive bases of attitudes in susceptibility to affectively and cognitively based persuasion. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 25, pp. 363-381.

Ferrés J. (1996). Televisión subliminal: socializacion mediante comunicaciones inadvertidas. Barcelona: Paidós. Gardner, H. (1983). Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences. New York: Basic Books.

Geuens, M. and Pelsmacker, P. (1999). Individual differences and the communication effects of different types of emotional stimuli: «affect intensity» revisited». *Psychology and Marketing*, 16 (3), pp. 195-209.

Goleman, D. (2001). Emotional intelligence: Issues on paradigm building. In C. Cherniss and D. Goleman (Eds.) *The emotionally intelligent workplace.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Goleman, D. (1998). Working with Emotional Intelligence. New York: Bantam Books.

Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional intelligence: why it can matter more than IQ. New York: Bantam Books.

Haddock, G. and Zanna, M.P. (1993). Predicting prejudicial attitudes: the importance of affect, cognition, and the feeling-belief dimension. In L. MacAlister and M.L. Rothschild (Eds.) *Advances in Consumer Research*, 20, pp. 662-666.

Harris, W.D. and Moore, D.J. (1990). Affect intensity as an individual difference variable in consumer response to advertising appeals. In M. E. Goldberg, G. Gorn and R W. Pollay (Eds.), *Advances in consumer research*, XVII, pp. 792-797.

Haughvedt, C.P, Petty, R.E. and Cacioppo, J.T. (1992). Need for cognition and advertising: understanding the role of personality variables in consumer behaviour. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 1, pp.239-260.

Inman, J.J., McAlister, L. and Hoyer, W.D. (1990). Promotion signal: proxy for a price cut? *Journal* of Consumer Research, 17, pp. 74-81.

LaBarbera, P.A., Weingard, P. and Yorkston, E.A. (1998). Matching the message to the mind: advertising imagery and consumer processing styles. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 38, pp.29-43.

Larsen, R. J. and Diener. E. (1987). Affect intensity as an individual difference characteristic: a review. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 21(1), pp. 1-39.

Leban, W. and Zulauf, C. (2004). Linking emotional intelligence abilities and transformational leadership styles. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 25 (7), pp.554-564.

Lutz, R. J., MacKenzie, S.B. and Belch, G.E. (1983). Attitude toward the Ad as a mediator of advertising effectiveness: determinants and consequences. In R. P. Bagozzi and A. M. Tybout (Eds.) *Advances in Consumer Research* (pp. 532-539). Ann Arbor: Association for Consumer Research.

Lutz, R.J. (1985). Affective and cognitive antecedents of attitude toward the ad: A conceptual framework. In L. Alwitt and A. Mitchell (Eds.) *Psychological Processes and Advertising Effects* (pp. 45-63). Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.

MacInnis, D. J. and Jaworski, B.J (1989). Information processing from advertisements: towards an integrative framework. *Journal of Marketing*, 53, 1-23.

MacKenzie, S. B. and R. J. Lutz (1989). An empirical examination of the structural antecedents of attitude toward the ad in an advertising pretest context. *Journal of Marketing*, 53, pp. 48-65.

MacKenzie, S. B., Lutz, R. J. and Belch, G. E. (1986). The role of attitude toward the ad as a mediator of advertising effectiveness: A test of competing explanations. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 23, pp. 130-143.

Mantel, S.P. and Kardes, F.R. (1999). The role of direction of comparison, attribute-based processing, and attitude-based processing in consumer preference. *Journal of Consumer Re- search*, 25(4), pp. 335-352.

Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P. and Caruso, D. R. (2000). Models of emotional intelligence. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.). *Handbook of Human Intelligence*. 2nd ed., New York: Cambridge.

Mayer, J. D. and Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? In P. Salovey and D. Sluyter (Eds). *Emotional Development and Emotional Intelligence: Implications for Educators* (pp. 3-31). New York: Basic Books.

Mayer, J.D. and Salovey, P. (1993). The intelligence of emotional intelligence. *Intelligence*, 17, pp. 433 – 442.

Mehrabian, A. and Russel, J.A. (1974). An approach to environmental psychology. Cambridge: The MIT Press.

Moore, D. J. and Harris, W.D. (1996). Affect intensity and the consumer's attitude toward high impact emotional advertising appeals. *Journal of Advertising*, 25 (2), pp. 37-50.

Moore, D. J., Harris, W. D. and Chen, H.C. (1995). Affect intensity: an individual difference response to advertising appeals. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 22, pp. 154-164.

Peltier, J.W. and Schibrowsky, J.A. (1994). Need for cognition, advertisement viewing time and memory for advertising stimuli. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 21, pp. 244-250.

Petty, R. E., Cacioppo, J. T. and Schumann, D. (1983). Central and peripheral routes to advertising effectiveness: the moderating role of involvement. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 10, pp. 135-146.

Raman, N.V., Chattopadhyay, P. and Hoyer, W.D. (1995). Do consumers seek emotional situations: The need for emotion scale. In F. Kardes and M. Sujan (Eds.), *Advances in Consumer Research*, 22, pp. 537-542.

Ruiz, S. and Sicilia, M. (2004). The impact of cognitive and/or affective processing styles on consumer response to advertising appeals, *Journal of Business Research*, 57 (6), pp. 657-664. Available at [access on 20/4/2005] http://www.marketing.um.es/profesorado/salvruiz/publicaciones/jbr05717.pdf

Salovey, P. and Mayer, J.D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition, and Personality*, 9, pp. 185-211.

Schutte, N. S., Schuettpelz, E. and Malouff, J. M. (2000). Emotional intelligence and task performance. *Imagination, Cognition & Personality*, 20 (4), pp. 347-354.

Simonson, I., Carmon, Z., Dhar, R., Drolet, A. and Nowlis, S.M. (2001). Consumer research: in search of identity. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, pp.249-275.

Van Rooy, D.L. and Viswesvaran, C. (2004). Emotional intelligence: a meta-analytic investigation of predictive validity and nomological net. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 65 (1), pp.71-95

Venkatraman; M.P, Marlino, D., Kardes, F.R. and Sklar, K.B. (1990). The interactive effects of message appeal and individual differences on information processing and persuasion. *Psychology and Marketing*, 7, pp. 85-96.

Wegener, D. T. and Petty, R. E. (1994). Mood-management across affective states: The hedonic contingency hypothesis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 66, pp. 1034-1048.

Williams, W. and Sternberg, R. (1988). Group intelligence: Why some groups are better than others. *Intelligence*, 12, pp. 351-377.

Zajonc, R.B. and Markus, H. (1982). Affective and cognitive factors in preferences. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 9, pp. 123-131.

NOTES

1. These measures are the Standartized Emotion Profile (Holbrook & Batra, 1987), the Feeling-Belief measure (Haddock & Zanna, 1993), the Consumption Emotion Set (Richins, 1997) or the Preference for Affect scale (Sojka & Giese, 1997).

2. The two last ones were called by Meyer, Salovey & Caruso (2000) «mixed models» because they mix in variables that have not been scientifically validated as being related to intelligence.

ABSTRACTS

Individual differences can lead to changes in the responses given towards an advertising appeal. This is an exploratory study that analyses the influence of emotional intelligence, need for cognition and need for emotion in the consumers' response to advertising. The results confirm the importance of considering emotional intelligence as an important factor to predict the global opinion towards the ad, the attitude towards the ad and the emotional responses to advertising. The influence of need for cognition and need for emotion in the emotional responses to advertising is also discussed.

As diferenças existentes entre consumidores podem originar reacções distintas face à publicidade. A presente investigação é um estudo exploratório que pretende analisar a influência da inteligência emocional, da necessidade de cognição e da necessidade de emoção nas respostas à publicidade dadas pelos consumidores. Os resultados obtidos confirmam a importância de considerar a inteligência emocional como um factor relevante para prever a opinião global e a atitude desenvolvida relativamente ao anúncio, bem como as respostas emocionais do consumidor quando confrontado com o anúncio. É ainda discutida a influência da necessidade de cognição e da necessidade de emoção nas reacções emocionais perante a publicidade.

INDEX

Keywords: emotional intelligence, need for cognition, need for emotion, consumer responses to advertising

Palavras-chave: inteligência emocional, necessidade de cognição, necessidade de emoção, resposta dos consumidores à publicidade

AUTHORS

ANA CRISTINA ANTUNES

Escola Superior de Comunicação Social Campus de Benfica do Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa aantunes@escs.ipl.pt

FRANCISCO COSTA PEREIRA

Escola Superior de Comunicação Social Campus de Benfica do Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa fpereira@escs.ipl.pt